App F IX 4

Previous References

1935 (Mk)

File No. 63-35

Serial Nos. 2

WRO 7-32 81/2
ME 99-37 81/4

Letter References

ME V.P.1-35 (1)
Fo-34 (1-3)
Mk 81-36-8685, 87, 111, 138, 139, 147
KAB 83-38 84 18 TC 110-39 1243 1415 1459

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Mk 192-57-2
Mk 192-57-4
ME 192-51-6
ME 147 53 6
ME 147 53-8
Serial Nos One

Part B for Sep 1935

SUBJECT

Fixing give Establishment, Tools & Plant Charges on the outlay of works and repairs executed by the PWD ~~works~~

G.O. no L 2144-62 of 18 9 35 to Direc of Works, Chief Engr, Secretaries of Departments & Presidents of Districts Boards, Commissioner Nilgiris

Passes order in the matter of fixing the charges at 12 and 1/2 per cent respectively

WP 01997—DTP B—22 500 6-6-35

Installation of a pumping unit at Mydala tank, Tumkur Water Supply at a cost of Rs. 3 800/- and the levy of the establishment and tools and plant charges calculated at only 7½% on the initial outlay instead of the usual 18½%

Financial Secretary's orders thereon

1) May be communicated But the general principle of waiving or reducing centages arbitrarily may be taken up separately

---- (Id) S S 25-6-33

2) Establishment tools and plant charges are being waived in some cases To discuss the general question of such waiver, the practice in Madras and in Government of India may be ascertained It may also be seen if such cases have been noted in the Government of India Audit and Appropriation report

3) A note may be put up with references and submitted in about a fortnight

(Id) S S

3-7-33

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No | of 193

Serial No

4) Submitted the rules regarding the adjustment of the charges for establishment and tools and plant on the outlay on works and repairs are laid down in para 514 Mysore Public Works Account Code The charges usually ~~charged~~ levied are 17½% on the outlay on works and repairs for Establishment and % for Tools and Plant In the case of Contribution works however the charges will be calculated at 10% and ½% for Establishment and Tools and plant respectively Certain Non Government works are also exempted from the levy Para 514 M P W A C states that Contribution works may be specially exempted by Government from payment of these charges

5) Para 513 M P W A C lays down that the Public Works department may take into account concessions shown by Government in the various cases where Establishment and Tools and plant charges for works done for other departments Local Bodies etc are waived and show in its Administration reports the real cost of such charges on departmental works and the proportion ~~they bear~~ to the outlay on such works

6) The point for consideration whether it is not desirable to lay down certain working rules or principles as regards cases in which these charges can be reduced or in which the recovery thereof can be completely waived The entire expenditure on account of establishment in the Public works department is accounted under '24 Civil works' and credit is taken for the charges transferable to other departments and Non Government works and the net amount chargeable to Government shown in the Budget estimates Waiving the

WD 70—GBPM—60 000—13 8 31

recovery of these charges means increase of expenditure to Government and the proposals for reducing the charges to be levied or waiving the recovery have therefore to be considered in the same way as proposals for incurring extra expenditure from General revenues

7) Para 283 of the Government of India Public works department code requires that no reduction of Establishment and Tools and Plant charges should be made in the case of works costing Rs 1000 or more and according to para 455 of the same code Local Governments are competen to waive recovery of departmental charges for establishment and tools and plant on works carried out on behalf o Municipalities and Local funds and on contribution works only when the cost of the works is less than Rs 1000.

8) A rule similar to Para 283 of the Government of Indi Code is also found in Para 210 of the Madras Public works department code. Appendix 7 of the Madras Public works Account code contains certain detailed rules for the distribution of the Establishment and Tools and Plant charg and the percentages to be charged on the works outlay towards these charges vary with reference to different classes of works (drainage schemes works done in the work shops etc) while we have only two percentages 10 per cent ordinary cases and 10½ per cent for contribution works

9) Rule 9 of this Apendix 7 of the Madras Code also state that the prescribed percentages can be remitted with the sanction of the Local Government in the case of Non-Government works costing less than Rs 1000 and that the remissio of charges is not permissible in other cases as such aid

Serial No

as it may be desired to give to a local body in the construction of a work in which it is interested is usually given separately in the form of a cash grants-in aid

(c) In the British Indian Audit reports the principles regarding the adjustment of these charges are usually referred to in a separate para and from a perusal of some of the above reports, cases of deviation from the prescribed rule have not come to notice

(d) The rule followed in British India and explained clearly in Rule 3 of Appendix 7 of the Madras Public works Account Code may perhaps be adopted here also Applying this rule the establishment and tools and plant charges can be remitted only in cases where the cost involved is less than Rs 1000 and in all other cases any concessions which Government may require to show may be in the shape of a Cash grant in aid up to the extent admissible under the grant-in-aid rules or special rules framed by Government This aspect of the section has been raised by the Finance department in some of the files relating to the waiving of these charges, referred unofficially to this department for opinion and the point is raised specifically on this file for enunciating general principles

2 W
18-8 33

H Seey) 22/8/33.

12 (1) The main gist of the note is that when waiving is sanctioned, automatically the anticipated reduction of the charges distributed to the several works (on first buildings and other works) is not realized and hence an increase of expenditure to that extent occurs

Note—Contn Sheet

File No 139/C of 193 | General and Revenue Secretariat

Under present arrangements, the Compiled accounts do not exhibit anywhere the consolidated total of the several concessions sanctioned from time to time. Even if they the full grant to the local bodies have been given ~~the~~ such charges are being waived and the result is practically to allow the local bodies financial concessions in excess of the full grant. The main points to be decided in this case are (1) The waiving of the recovery of these established charges should be subject to regulation (2) There should be a financial limit of the total value of work up to which the charges on account of normal supervision over works should be regulated. In the Madras Govt it is Rs 10000/-/- Perhaps in this a smaller limit of Rs 5000/-/ may do. (3) When the recovery foregone is in excess of a certain other limit, it should be exhibited separately under the grant-in-aid given to the institution concerned, forming part of the maximum allowed or allowable under the rules. This would have the effect of bringing in a consolidated form in the account the total effect of such waiving instead of it being lost sight of under a dozen heads.

I shall be obliged by the P W D Secretary and Gen Secretary (Mr G by) offering remarks on the above 3 points.

23/8/33

P W D Secy with the compliments

23/8/33

No 79/33-34
26/8/33

662

Public Works Secretariat | File No | of 192

Serial No

W no 74
4/9/33

15 (a) Returned with compliments

2. I agree with the views of the Financial Secretary

Secretary to Government,
Public Works Department.
2 9 33

Fl Secretary)

GENERAL SECRETARIAT
5 SEP 1933
No 1559

(16)
Gen Secretary 28/9 Returned Compliments
AM
5/9/33

17 Most of the cases in which the Warning of Rent, Tools, Plant charges had been sanctioned are those relating to Water Supply Schemes undertaken by local bodies, and such warning has been issued on the recommendation of the Bureau of Sanitary Engineering

18 The Director of Health may be consulted on the proposals of the Fin Secy

GENERAL SECRETARIAT
6 SEP 1933

Fin Secy) (19) AM 7/9
16/9

20 Forwarded with compliments and invited attention to the Director of Health's for favour of opinion on the proposals of the Financial Secretary

By order
Asst to PS
for Gen Secy
PS AM

8 10W070-33
Dy 21-9-33

16-10-33
920-11

Note—Contn sheet

WR 7—GPB—8 000—1 7 29

9150 / No C 920 / 16-10-33

Retransmitted with compliments to the General Secretary.

2. The Financial Secretary points out in his Note that even when full grants, by which I believe he means 50 per cent of total estimate, have been given to the Local Bodie Establishment and Tools and Plant charges are being waived with the result that the Local Bodies practically get financial concessions in excess of the full grant This is not so. For, in no case, including the Establishment and Tools and Plant charges, the total grant has exceeded 50 per cent of the estimate Till recently Government wer making contributions to the Local Bodies to the extent of half the estimated amount for water supply projects The Budget grants for improvements having been curtailed owing to financial stringency, it is not now possible to allot half the estimated amount In many cases therefore recommendations have been made to waive the Supervision and Tools and Plant charges These charges along with the contributions have never exceeded 50 per cent of the total estimate

3. The Financial Secretary further points out that the complete waiving of the Supervision and Tools and Plan charge introduces difficulty in accounting and he therefore suggests that these may be booked as grant-in-aid I quite agree with his view in regard to the difficulty in accounting

There is need therefore for some definite policy in the matter of supervision and Tools and Plant charges Th British India practice would not quite suit us, our scheme being small, Government grants being curtailed and the Local Bodies being poor

I would therefore suggest that a uniform rate of 7½ rged for all estimates irrespective of the

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No | of 193

Serial No

the amount of contributions made from Government
In special cases however, Government may waive
the Supervision and Tools and Plant charges completely

2. The present maximum rate of 18 per cent seems rather excessive The 7½ per cent will cover the expenses of the Establishment of the Bureau of Sanitary Engineering and for this reason it is recommended

GENERAL SECRETARY 17 OCT 1933

GENERAL SECRETARY 19 OCT 1933

Director of He
16/10/33

Genl Secy) Adm 17/10

2. I agree with the views of the Director of Health

A/ Naval Secy to the Govt

Subs 2.8.10 Adm 30/10

3 917 H/11/33 31 10 33

WAD 2/11/33 Forwarded with compliments to the Comptroller for favour of remarks in regard to the adoption of the reduced rate proposed by the Director of Health

2 11 33

A/ Naval Secy to Govt
2/11/33

Q 2 R 75
2 10 33
R 3 11 33

RECEIVED 23 4 33 WAD-1 CALCUTTA

Note—Contn Sheet

File No | of 193 | General and Revenue Secretariat

356 spl / 10 / 34

Returned with compliments

2 The opinion of this office is called for in regard to the proposal of the Director of Health to levy Establishment and Tools and Plant charges only at 7½% for works executed by the Bureau of Sanitary Engineering

3 The works executed by the Bureau are mostly on behalf of the Local Bodies and charges leviable as per existing rules are 17½% and ½%

4 The proposal to levy only 7½% does not cover the above charges incurred by Government in full and the acceptance of the proposal results in excess charge to Government

5 As per App 7 Madras P W Accounts Code the total charges including Establishment and Tools and Plant and other charges for water supply and drainage works come up to 15½%

M Varadaraja Rao
Comptroller in charge
23/10/34

Returned to the Comptroller for stating what the correct charges would be leviable would be if 7½% is too low (Para 4 above)

[illegible]
23/10/34

23/11/34

Rec'd 23 OCT 1934

750/w A Dorai

Comptroller's Office | File No 66 C 2 / 41 | of 193 | i 0

Serial No 26 | No 1226 7/10 4 34 WMS

609/S&C — 12/4/34

Returned with compliments

27 2 The outlay on the Executive Sanitary Engineer's Establishment worked out to Rs 56,900 during 1932-33 and is distributable among the works supervised by it, the outlay on which aggregated to Rs 5,83,557/- as detailed in the margin

1	VaniVilas Water Works	Rs 1,49,302
2	Mysore City Municipality contribution works	16,250
3	K G F Water Works	87,005
4	Other Works	3,31,000
	Total	5,83,557

FN[illegible] 1 A A 5 13 No[illegible]

28 3 The share of contribution on account of establishm charges, recoverable from the VaniVilas Water Works, K G F Water Works and the Mysore City Municipality works worked out to Rs 12,720 as follows -

(i) 5 p c on Rs.20,533/- (The portion of the establishment on which 5 p c has to be levied as per G O No L 3731-33/M1 12-32-102 dated 23/25-11-33) from Vani Vilas Water Works Rs 1027-0-0

5 p c from Mysore Municipal Contribution works (G O No L 4391-21 of M1 84-26-24 dated 19-12-28 and G O No L 3731-33/M1 12-32-102 dated 23/25-11-33) 1028-0-0

12 1/5 p c from K G F Water Supply based on the proportion levied in years 1931-32 & 32-33) 10665-0-0

Total 12720-0-0

27 The balance of establishment charges distributable among other works is therefore Rs 44 180 The percentage of this to the outlay (4) above works out to 13 1/3 To this has to be added 2½ p c for Direction and audit charges and the total levy on account of establishment works out to about 15 5/6 or 16 p c It may also be observed that no contribution on account of establishment is levied on some works Another 2 p c levy for Tools and Plant charges has to be made on these works as per para 514 of the P W Account Code

M Viswanathan
for Comptroller (also and ex-officio Secy to Govt)

Note— C ntn Sl ct

File No | of 193 | Comptroller's Office

Serial No

(3) From the Comptroller's note it will be clear that even with regard to works undertaken by the Sanitary Engineering department at least 16 per cent has to be charged on account of establishment charges and ½% on account of Tools and Plant charges The present rate is 17½% for the former and ½% for the latter In some cases extra special establishment may have to be entertained for the supervision of individual works and as pointed out by the Comptroller no contribution is levied on some works (Palace funds and Muzrai funds etc) If these factors are taken into account the present rates cannot be considered as high on the whole The proportion which the total establishment charges in the P W D bear to the total expenditure on works and repairs under all funds comes to about 10%

(4) I am therefore of opinion that no reduction in the existing rates is called for and that these charges at the prescribed rates should be provided for in the estimates in all cases and it is not desirable to reduce or omit the provision on this account in any case

For orders

Dewhurst 19/4

The Comptroller's unofficial note dated 10 4 26 The revised estimate for the year was only 42 000 So the amount of Rs 56 000 with all deductions could be utilised

18/4

18/4/26

Legislative Secretary

20/4/26

COM 50 N 1 000 28 3 34

a/1931/WADI 2 26/5

Serial No

some deduct entry in the budget as a correct the revenue & capital expenditure entire Region & K G 7 Scheme why is the current years estimate of this item so low information may kindly be furnished on these points as also the expenditure of water works for the last three years

For Secy
with compts

MW
24/4

23/4/34

34 To

Comptroller with compliments for favour of furnishing the particulars required by the Dev Secy

Bro,
Dev Fe Secy

23 4 34

U.O. Fe 2254 26 C-34

708/8&R WAD ALWAR

664/8&R 3/5/34

35 U O No 910 WAD I dated 30th April 1934

Returned with compliments

36 2 The revised estimate of Rs42,800/- under 22 (6) Bureau of Sanitary Engineering referred to by the Development Secretary includes other charges in addition to the charges on account of establishment proper It does not include the contribution of Rs9,000/- shown against the "Deduct" entry If this sum of Rs9,000/- is added to Rs42 800/- the gross amount provided for establishment an other charges under the head will work up to Rs51,800/- Please see details on page 55 of the current year's budg

37 3 Rs56,900/- referred to in this office U.O No 1228/WAD dated 10th April 1934 represents the total charges on account of establishment booked partly under "22 -(8) Bureau

NOTL—Contn Sheet

WD 0431—GPB—15 000—12 10-33

12

662/38

File No | of 193 | General and Revenue Secretariat

Serial No

Bureau of Sanitary Engineering" and partly under "24 -(6) -iii) Bureau of Sanitary Engineering -Construction Establishment "Vide details in the margin

22 (6) Bureau of Sanitary Engineering	49,412
24 (6) (iii) Bureau of Sanitary Engineering Construction Estt	7,462
Total	Rs56,874
or	Rs56,900/-

38) 4 The Deduct entry under "22 (6) Bureau of Sanitary Engineering" is for the contribution received from the Rockfeller Foundation which is only Rs4,500/- for 1933-34 against Rs9,000/- in 1932-33

5 The Water Supply Schemes worked out by the old Sanitary and Town Planning Division were transferred to Water Supply Division in November 1930 when the former division was abolished and the Sanitary Bureau was newly formed Till July 1932, the Sanitary Bureau was carrying cut mostly survey works In July 1932 the Bureau of Sanitary Engineering was constituted as a Public Works unit under the Director of Health Consequently figures for 1932-33 only which were available were furnished

C V Narayana Reddy
For Comptroller

SECRET
4 - MAY 1934
L S S

39) Passed on to the Dev Secy with compliments
B o
M Shamanna
For Financial Secretary

LSR 4/5

4) Please furnish the report of the Sanitary Bureau for 1932 33

5 5

Subd with the report of the Health Dept for

Exhibit of Work of Irrigation Branch on ...
Bureau of Engineering - 14

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No | of 193 | [App F III—2

Serial No

the calendar year 1932 (the latest report received). An account of the work done in the Bureau of Irrigation Engineering is contained on pages 70-70, of that report

Asst Wr
7/5
Dev. Engr)

8
8/5/34

3729

NOTE—Contn Sheet

WD 0434—GPB—15 000—12-10-32

File No	of 193	General and Revenue Secretariat
		Serial No

9 15

Serial No

42) The question of reducing the establishment Tools & Plant charges for water supply & drainage works undertaken by the Sanitary Dept on behalf of local bodies has been before Govt a number of times and in some cases it has been ordered that the charges be reduced to 7½ or 5%. In a number of cases recently it has been ordered that the charges may be lowered at 1½% annual but the value of pipes and machinery purchased should be left out of calculations for this purpose and this is in accordance with article 516 (c) of the Nyasa Public works account Code

43) The charging of the full 1½% on cost of the total expenditure on works out of a great number of the bulk of the expenditure in a large majority of the cases relates to the purchase of pipes pumping plant or other machinery which are all more or less standard articles made by well known manufacturers and the supervision exercised by the Dept is very little so it is even conceivable that the local body can in a number of cases engage specialists for the occasion and get the work done much cheaper Professional contractors [illegible]

NOTE—Contn Sheet

WD 0 955—GPB—22 500—12-6 34

not charge so much and the working
of the work being done by the Sanitary Depart-
ment and the payment by heavy establishment
charges as in the way the progress of
works calculated to enhance the pecuniary
wants of the people However owing to the
present financial condition Govt are
not in a position to sanction grants as
liberally as they were ever wish Still
these considerations make it desirable
that these charges should be reduced

(4) The Financial Secretary
does not feel that the ratio of the cost of establish-
ment to value of the works is at present excessive
and that any reduction must conduce further
to increase the cost of Govt works. He
is not therefore in favour of reducing the
scale but would think that Govt should of course
declare in favour of the grants

(5) This ratio again depends
upon the P'w D budget and in figures
distinctions is not made in regard to the
allocations to several Kinds of works as
in Madras for example

(6) The Chief Engineer who
was in Council has suggested that in
fixing the rate, we may confine
ourselves to the charges for work done

Serial No

by the Sanitary Bureau The Financial Secretary is agreeable to this but feels that owing it will not be possible to advance the sum

47 But the Bureau has other activities viz

1 Maintenance of numerous water supply works

2. Inquiring about & making of Mosquito control

3 Approval of plans for building schemes etc

4 Checking pumps & water etc

Recently breweries & their suppliers has also been entrusted to them In regard maintenance at varying rates about 37 per cent of allotment is over absorbed Bureau says this very difficult to allocate the cost to the various works undertaken by them and to ensure proper distribution to the different types of Revenue & Loan Votes the collection of the funds & the Bureau Though the work may be less

48 It is not known why the wholesome provisions under S.T.C. 6 of the Hygiene Public Works account code was not followed till now If this procedure is adopted it will relieve the position and the Municipalities will have to bear an equitable burden

49 In the circumstances fully the Financial Secretary has requested that the Comptroller should—

There is probably less improper work in Vehicles work

NOTE—Contn Sheet

WD 01955—GPB—22 500—12 6 34

2

662/32 5609/52

File No of 193

General and Revenue Secretariat

be consulted at this point

SO Forwarded urgently the comptroller with compliments for further remarks

W.O. No 216 5/16-4-35

Para 514(6)(c) of the Public Works Account Code stating that generally payments involving no charges for supervision, should be left out of account in calculating the establishment and Tools and Plant charges on a work is based on an exactly similar ruling in the old Government of India Public Works Code (1907 Edition), and this has since been expunged from the revised edition of the latter Code Under the rules now in force in British India, no portion of an estimate (whether material or labour) is exempt from the levy of these charges, and even cost of land included in estimates have to be charged this levy This change of procedure should have been incorporated in our Code, and para 516 should have been completely removed therefrom Necessary action to effect these corrections will be taken separately Though the rule is not modified still, the procedure in vogue is in conformity with that obtaining in British India

(2) That machinery and pipe fittings do not require any supervision and so no charge on account of establishment and Tools and Plant should be made in respect of the cost

Serial No

on account of their purchase cannot be accepted Charges are incurred in ordering the necessary material testing and passing the same and storing and accounting for them before issue In fact the Electrical Department levies as much as 10 per cent on the value of materials in stock on account of handling and other charges Of course it may be admitted that the cost of supervision on this account is less than that on account of original construction proper and if deemed fit a ~~ximilar~~ smaller percentage say about 7½ per cent may suffice But having ~~rates~~ different rates of per centage levy for stores and for ~~office~~ other expenditure would be a cumbrous procedure

53 It may be noted in this connection that any reduction on account of the levy of Establishment and Tools and Plant charges will automatically increase the establishment charges in Public Works Department, which even now works out to nearly 20 per cent of the total expenditure on works undertaken by the department (the actuals for 1933-34 being so high as 18.34%)

54 Even taking the establishment of the Sanitary Bureau itself the percentage of charges on Establishment to the outlay incurred on account of works executed by that Division works out to 14 per cent including 1 5 per cent on account of Direction charges and 1.0 p c on account of audit (supervision charges)

55 If 1% on account of S P C charges is added the percentage would be 15% so in any case a percentage lower

NOTE—Co tn Sh t

File No of 193

General and Revenue Secretaria

than 15% would not adequately cover the actual charges on account of Tools and Plant Establishment and supervision

Comptroller

The detailed figures on which the calculations are based may kindly be furnished

Comptroller

1117/35

P O No 10/W A D Wise Dated 4th July 1935

Returned with compliments

The total works expenditure of the Sanitary Engineer is Rs 6 47 640 in 1933-34 the latest year for which the accounts are ready are as noted below -

Works outlay in 1933-34

Under 24 Civil Works	Rs 1	39 307
24 A Communications		2 138
30 Grants for Public Improvements	-	— 56 776-
K G F Depreciation Fund		13 957
K G F Revenue Account		68 412
Deposits - Ordinary		26 705
Do Contribution	1	44 958
Objection Book Advance		
(1) Original	-	1 611
(2) Repairs		36 380
Other charges	1,	05 306
33 Interdepartmental transfer		
(d) Other items P W D		9 968
Loans to Municipalities		45 304
Total	6	47 640

Comptroller's Office | File No | of 193

Serial No

57) The Establishment charges of the same office work up to as below

Under 22 P H (6)	Rs	47 434
" 24 C W - 6		9 987
Work Establishment		10 177
Do		6 315
		73 913

58) The percentage of establishment charges to works outlay is $\frac{73913 \times 100}{647640}$ or 11 5

Add (a) Direction charges	1	5
" (b) Audit charges	1	0
" (c) S P C charges	1	0 — the pro rata rate for the whole Stat work out to 1 2 p c
	15	0 p c

Leg 92/35

61 Direction and Audit charges are taken ~~at the same rates~~ on the analogy of the rates prescribed in G O No 1044-44/S dated 29th January 1927

62 S P C charges are levied in accordance with G O No G 3207-61 - G M 50-24-2 dated 5th November 24

63 I may also state in this connection that in Madras Establishment and Tools and Plant charges are levied at 15% on water supply and drainage schemes (Vide para 6 Appendix 7 of the Madras P W Account Code)

B. Nagendra Rao
~~for Comptroller~~ & 7 3,-
(Absent from Station)

64) As already stated by me, the Sanitary Bureau is attending to a large number of other drainage work and it does not seem

Note — C ntn Sheet

File No | of 193 | Comptroller's Office

Serial No

Correct to attribute the whole charges to water supply & drainage works in this view there is ground case for reducing the charges to 7½% or undertaking with consideration the value of pipelines made

Seen Member

Thank you Secy

1/IV
10 7 35

1517/35

FINANCIAL SECRETARIAT U O No FI 99 10 JUL 1935

65 Reference is invited to my note dated 18th September 1934 The proportion which the total establishment charges in the P W D bear to the total expenditure on works and repairs comes to about 18% to 20% The present rate of 18% (17½% for Establishment charges and ½% for Tools and Plant) cannot therefore be regarded as high

66 From the Comptroller's note dated 4th July 1935 it will be seen that even taking the establishment of the Sanitary Bureau itself a percentage lower than 15% would not adequately cover the actual charges for Establishment The percentage for both Establishment and Tools and Plant charges will be 15½ (including ½% for Tools and Plant) In Madras 15½% is charged for water supply and drainage schemes actually executed

What about the Bureaus new work ?

Establishment, Tools & plant charges

towards Establishment and Tools and Plant charges and this is levied on the actual outlay booked in the accounts and no item of expenditure is excluded from the levy on the plea that it involved little or no departmental supervision In addition the Madras Government levy 2½% on the estimated amount on plans and estimates for water supply and drainag schemes which are not executed but are finally recorded ar revised

67 Any decrease in the prescribed percentage will result in increase of expenditure under 24 Civil Works'

68 I am therefore still of opinion that the present percentage is not high and has to be charge on the entire outlay booked in the accounts The proposal to charge 18% on a portion of the outlay (exclusive of pipes machinery etc) or to charge only 7½% on the entire outlay is not financially acceptable If some concession is considered absolutely necessary in respect of water supply and drainage schemes, the percentage may be reduced to 15½ as in Madras

69 Further it is always desirable to show in the accounts the grant-in-aid given to Local Bodies as a direct subvention instead of as an indirect subvention

SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL
17 JULY 1935
No 1-6-2

DEVELOPMENT SECRETARY
327
17/7/35
TO GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE.

NOTE—Contn Sheet

1617

1617/35

488
L

File No — of 193 | General and Revenue Secretariat

70 At present, the Estt charges work at 18½ for

71 The question is now between 7½ / recommended by the Dist Sanit or 15½ / suggested by the Pe Sanit, as test, establishment charges for Sanit water supply works. Perhaps the former figure is too low while the latter is high, considering the fact that most of our schemes, unlike Madras are mere ones of small municipalities with limited resources

72 The subject may be discussed at a meeting of the Dewan approves

488
L

462

27/7

Dewan

29/7

73 31-7-35

Chief Secy with compliments

18

2/8

(For Disposal at a Meeting)

No C 28 — 1935-6

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Council Matter

SUBJECT

(clause xii of the schedule)

74 Reduction of the establishment, tools and plant charges payable by the Local Bodies in respect of works executed by the Public Works and Bureau of Sanitary Engineering

CIRCULATED TO THE MEMBERS OF COUNCIL

By Order,

Chief Secretary to Government.

3rd August 19 35

First Member of Council

Second Member of Council

26

26

Extract from the Proceedings of the 938th *Meeting of the Council of His Highness the Maharaja held at Bangalore on th* 15th August 1935 *19*

15

Subject -- Clause xii of the schedule

75 Question of reducing the Establishment, Tools and Plant charges for work executed on behalf of local bodies by the Public Works Department and Sanitary Engineering Department

OPINION OF THE COUNCIL

76 In respect of water supply and drainage works executed by the Public Works Department or the Sanitary Engineering Department, the establishment and tools charges may be reduced to 12, and ½ per cent respectively

(Sd) MIRZA M ISMAIL,
DEWAN

Financial Secretary,
with compliments,
for favour of concurrence

77 The concession may be kept in view when sanctioning grants-in-aid to local bodies for such works

17/8/35

EXTRACT

Chief Secretary to Government

WD 1471—GPB—2 000—1 8 91

78 For favour of Final Note

17/8/35

Second Member

Reduction in Estt & Plant (Tools) charges

C 28 468 [App F III

...l and Revenue Secretariat | File No | of 193

Serial No

79 Final Note signed by the Member is put up

81) 23-8-35

DEWAN OFFICE 23 AUG 1935 1936

SECT C 22 AUG 1935 GENL & REV SECT

NOTL—Contd Sheet

WD 01 36—GPB—7 500—5 4 84

File No	of 193	General and Revenue Secretariat

Note by the Second Member of Council

17

Subject —

Question of reducing the Establishment, Tools and Plant charges for work executed on behalf of Local Bodies by the Public Works and Sanitary Engineering Departments

The question of reducing the Establishment, Tools and Plant charges for works executed by the Sanitary Engineering and Public Works Departments on behalf of Local Bodies has been before Government for some time past In some cases, the charges were either waived or reduced from 18 to 7½ or 5 Per cent on the recommendation of the Director of Health or the Chief Engineer The Director of Health reports that the present maximum rate of 18 per cent is excessive, while a number of applications are being received from the Local Bodies to waive or reduce these charges in the case of works executed for them by the Public Works or the Sanitary Departments Considering the fact that most of our schemes are minor ones for small Municipalities with limited resources, the present charge appears to be high The subject was accordingly taken up for consideration at a meeting of the Council held on the 15th August 1935, when it was resolved as follows -

"In respect of water supply and drainage works executed by the Public Works Department or the Sanitary Engineering Department, the establishment and tools charges may be reduced to 12 and 4 per cent respectively

The Resolution of the Council may be graciously approved

Iyengar

MEMBER OF COUNCIL

Bangalore,
21st August 1935

Submitted to His Highness

I submit herewith a Final Note by the Second Member of Council on the subject of the question of reducing the Establishment, Tools and Plant charges for work executed on behalf of Local Bodies by the Public Works and Sanitary Engineering Departments for His Highness's gracious approval

Mirza M Ismail

Bangalore)
23rd August 1935)

DEWAN

Record of Cases submitted for the Orders of

His Highness the Maharaja

9

Subject

Reduction of Establishment, Tools and Plant charges for works executed on behalf of Local Bodies by the Public Work and the Sanitary Engineering Departments

C Sec 1

Pr.

2-F

PQ

298

Order

~~KRW~~

27/8/35

488/C

C28

C.D

General and Revenue
Secretariat

SUBJECT –

Reduction of Estt., Tools and Plant charges for works executed on behalf of Local bodies by the public Works and the Sanitary Engineering depts.

---

(3)

31 All g t fid t l fil t rn d w h H H gh d m y th b f ru d t th t ry b d ly l t d t th M mb f C l f p l ft th f d rs

33 Th S t d sh ld h t d th f d t b d d th t th fil t d mm d ly ft t th Ch f S t y f l t t th M mb

34 J ll l th fil w ll fi t b l d t h M mb f C l t H d q d h t t th S t rn d f th ss f d

Circulated to Members of Council for perusal of His Highness orders before/after issue of orders (*Vide Rules* 31 33 a d 34 of the Subs d ry R les f th d ct f b s ess of C unc l the M l f Co c l R l s nd Procedu e)

Chief Secretary to Government

Thro gh th Secr t y to G me t

D p tm nt

1557/9/18

FIRST MEMBER OF COUNCIL

9/8

SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL (on tour)

General Secretary } both Components

NOTE – Contn sheet

Ltrs 29/8

29/8

MW 30/8

Please put up address for 30/8

Salt, Tools and Plant Charges

488

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No | of 193 | [App F III—2

Serial No

1354
31/8/35

94) Dft f/c under appl
31/8

The draft under reply to the Secretariat, P.W.D. for perusal before issue

Secretary) 31/8

For perusal & return

W.O. 71 112
5.9.35

Secretary) HW 4-9

Returned with Compliments, after perusal

Sec. D.D.) 13/9/35

Issue HW 16.9

Issue requirement 16.9

Fl. Cess 583

For Financial Secretary's observation, please see para 47 - page 26.

Draft and face sheet

25 HW 1935

For perusal H Secy) 19.9.35

Issue 21.11.35

— empl — dem b/ to emit sup over 703 pds 2 PDD

NOTE—Contn Sheet

WD 0 14—GPB—30 000—13-7 35

2144—6 2 37 18-9-35

For the Salt Duties rates see enclosure at 12 and ½ percent respectively

Reduction of Estt, Tours and Plant charges

186

File No of 193 General and Revenue Secretariat

Files may be sent to the G.O.C Branches
for entering next the letters relating to
P.H. section & returning to this

[illegible] G.O.C Branch)
No. 135

Papers taken from the file returned to
[illegible] G Br
[illegible] to [illegible] G Br
[illegible] letter complete)

[illegible]
[illegible]
9/4/13
[illegible]

G R S App F VI—G 2]

SUBJECT

Diary No of letter replied to

Section Rul

File No 63-35

Serial No 2

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 193

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

Date of issue 18-9- 1935

Read— Paras 5-14 of P W A Code fixing the Establishment charges at the rate of 17½ % on the outlay on works and repairs executed by the P W D, and Tools & Plant charges at half percent on the outlay on works and repairs

May this be—

(i) printed? yes

(ii) treated as a standing order? yes

(iii) published in the Gazette English Part? yes

(iv) translated? yes

(v) placed at the disposal of the press? yes

(vi) published in the Gazette Kannada Part? yes

Order thereon—No L2144-6207

the question of reversing the Establishment charges at the rate of 17½ % on the outlay on works and repairs executed by the P W D, and Tools & Plant charges at half percent on the outlay on works executed by the Sanitary Engineering and Public Works Department on behalf of Local Bodies has been under the consideration of Govt for some time past In some cases the charges now

to be waived or reduced by funds from 18/
to 7½, or 5/ on the recommendations of
the Director of Health or the Chief Engineer
The Director of Health reports that the present
maximum rate of 18/ is excessive, while
a number of applications are being received
from the Local Bodies to waive or reduce
these charges, in view of their financial stringency
Having regard to
~~Considering~~ the fact that most of
the schemes are minor ones intended for
small communities with limited resources, funds
consider the present charges to be rather high
and are accordingly pleased to direct that in respect of
water supply and drainage works executed by
Panchayat Samithi Engineers or the Public Works Department on behalf of ~~the~~ Samithis and Other Bodies as per List of Enclosure
the Provincial Sanitary Engineering Organisation, the
Unit, and Civil and Plant charges be reduced
to 12 and ½ per cent respectively

WW

Copied by

Examined by

The Director of
Chief Engineer
~~Executive Engineer, Health~~
Officers of the
~~Public Health~~ Engineering Organisation
Engineer-in-Chief (Sanitation)

WD 0158—GPB—15 000—13 8 34

Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

GENERAL AND REVENUE DEPARTMENTS

G O No L 2144-62/Ml 63 35 2 Bangalore Dated 18th September 1935

Establishment Tools and Plant charges

Fixes the———at 12 and ½ per cent respectively

Read -

Para 314 of the Mysore Public Works Accounts Code fixing the establishment charges at the rate of 17 ½ % on the outlay on works and repairs executed by the Public Works Department and tools nd plant charges at half per cent on the outlay on works and repairs

ORDER NO L 2144-62/ML 63-35 2 DATED 18TH SLPTEMBER 1935

The question of revising the scale of the establishment and ools and plant charges for the works executed by the Sanitary Engineering and Public Works Departments on behalf of Local Bodies has been under the consideration of Government for some time past In some cases the charges had either to be waived or reduced by Government from 18 % to 7 ½ % or 5 % on the recommendation of the Director of Health or the Chief Engineer The Director of Health reports that the present maximum rate of 18 % is excessive while a number of applications are being received from the Local Bodies to waive or reduce these charges in view of their financial stringency

2 Having regard to the fact that most of the schemes are minor ones intended for small municipalities with limited resources Government consider the present charges to be rather high and are accordingly pleased to direct that in respect of water supply and drainage works executed by the Bureau of Sanitary Engineering or the Public Works Department on behalf of Municipalities District Boards and Village Panchayats the establishment and tools and plant charges be reduced to 12 and ½ per cent respectively

M VENKATANARANAPPA
Secretary to Government
Development Department

-The Director of Health
The Chief Engineer
The Deputy Commissioners of Districts
The Presidents of District Boards through the Deputy Commissioners
The Comptroller to Government through the Financial Department

S O

Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

GENERAL AND REVENUE DEPARTMENTS

24

G O No L 2144-62/Ml 63 35 2 Bangalore Dated 18th September 1935

Establishment Tools and Plant charges

Fixes the———at 12 and ½ per cent respectively

Read -

Para 514 of the Mysore Public Works Accounts Code fixing the establishment charges at the rate of 17 ½ % on the outlay on works and repairs executed by the Public Works Department and tools nd plant charges at half per cent on the outlay on works and repairs

ORDER NO L 2144-62/ML 63-35 2 DATED 18TH SEPTEMBER 1935

The question of revising the scale of the establishment and ools and plant charges for the works executed by the Sanitary Engineering and Public Works Departments on behalf of Local Bodies has been under the consideration of Government for some time past In some cases the charges had either to be waived or reduced by Government from 18 % to 7 ½ % or 5 % on the recommendation of the Director of Health or the Chief Engineer The Director of Health reports that the present maximum rate of 18 % is excessive while a number of applica t ons are being received from the Local Bodies to waive or reduce these charges in view of their financial stringency

2 Having regard to the fact that most of the schemes are minor ones ntended for small municipalities with limited resources Government consider the present charges to be rather high and are accordingly pleased to direct that in respect of water supply and drainage works executed by the Bureau of Sanitary Engineering or the Public Works Department on behalf of Municipalities District Boards and Village Panchayats the establishment ools and plant charges be reduced to 12 and ½ per cent respectively

M VENKATANARANAPPA
Secretary to Government
Development Department

To--The Director of Health
The Chief Engineer
The Deputy Commissioners of Districts
The Presidents of District Boards through the Deputy Commissioners
The Comptroller to Government through the Financial Department